

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: SUMMARY

Introduction	1-1
Goals and Policies	1-3
TSM/TDM	1-4
Pedestrians	1-8
Bicycles	1-15
Transit	1-20
Motor Vehicles	1-23
Funding	1-36
Costs	1-36

CHAPTER 2: GOALS AND POLICIES

Goals and Policies	2-1
--------------------------	-----

CHAPTER 3: EXISTING CONDITIONS

Functional Classification	3-1
Traffic Volume	3-3
Travel Time Runs	3-7
Traffic Control	3-9
Traffic Levels of Service	3-11
Collisions	3-17
Transit	3-19
Bicycles	3-25
Pedestrian	3-25
Trucks	3-26

CHAPTER 4: FUTURE NEEDS/IMPROVEMENT PLANS

Future Demand and Land Use	4-1
Projected Land Uses	4-1
Metro Area Transportation Model	4-3
Forecasted Future Capacity Deficiencies	4-9
Alternatives/Options for Addressing Future Deficiencies	4-11
Transportation System Management (TSM) / Transportation Demand Management (TDM)	4-11
Pedestrians	4-28
Bicycles	4-35
Transit	4-40
Motor Vehicles	4-44
Other Modes	4-61

APPENDIX

Issues Report	A
TAZ Map/Land Use	B
Traffic Counts	C
Motor Vehicle Analysis	D
Connectivity Maps	E
Functional Classification Matrix	F
Metro Regional Model Transit Headway Data	G
Existing (2000) LOS Calculations	H
2020 RTP Priority Network Base LOS Calculations	I
2020 TSP Mitigated LOS Calculations	J
LOS Interpretation (<i>HCM 2000</i> Methodology)	K
Metro Street Design Policies/Designations	L
City of Beaverton SPIS	M
Additional Items	N

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1	Pedestrian Facilities Master Plan	1-14
FIGURE 1-2	Bicycle Facilities Master Plan	1-19
FIGURE 1-3	Transit Route Master Plan	1-22
FIGURE 1-4	2020 Priority System RTP Motor Vehicle Projects	1-29
FIGURE 1-5	2015 TSP Street Improvement Mater Plan	1-30
FIGURE 1-6	2015 TSP Intersection Improvement Locations	1-31
FIGURE 1-7	Additional 2020 TSP Street Improvements	1-32
FIGURE 1-8	Proposed Functional Classification	1-34
FIGURE 1-9	Through Truck Routes	1-35
FIGURE 3-1	Study Area	3-2
FIGURE 3-2	Adopted Functional Classification	3-4
FIGURE 3-3	Existing Traffic Volumes	3-5
FIGURE 3-4	Travel Time Runs	3-8
FIGURE 3-5	Existing Traffic Signals	3-10
FIGURE 3-6	Capacity Summary	3-16
FIGURE 3-7	SPIS Intersections	3-18
FIGURE 3-8	Transit Routes	3-22
FIGURE 3-9	Transit Coverage	3-23
FIGURE 3-10	Transit Shelters	3-24
FIGURE 3-11	Bicycle Volumes	3-27
FIGURE 3-12	Bicycle Routes	3-28
FIGURE 3-13	Pedestrian Volumes	3-29
FIGURE 3-14	Pedestrian Routes	3-30
FIGURE 3-15	Truck Percentages	3-31
FIGURE 3-16	Truck Routes	3-32
FIGURE 4-1	Transportation Analysis Zones	4-4
FIGURE 4-2	Model Process	4-5
FIGURE 4-3	2020 Committed Network Volume-to-Capacity (2-Hour)	4-10
FIGURE 4-4	2020 RTP Committed Scenario Non-SOV Percentages	4-21
FIGURE 4-5	2020 RTP Priority Scenario Non-SOV Percentages	4-22
FIGURE 4-6	Growth in Non-SOV shares between Committed and Priority RTP Scenarios	4-23
FIGURE 4-7	Pedestrian Facilities Master Plan	4-34
FIGURE 4-8	Bicycle Facilities Master Plan	4-39
FIGURE 4-9	Transit Route Master Plan	4-42
FIGURE 4-10	2020 Transit Service Coverage	4-43
FIGURE 4-11	Proposed Motor Vehicle Functional Classification	4-45
FIGURE 4-12	Through Truck Routes	4-60
FIGURE 4-13	Rail Lines Master Plan	4-61
FIGURE 4-14	Pipelines Master Plan	4-62
FIGURE 4-15	Air Facilities Master Plan	4-63

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1-1	RTP Projects Supporting TSM	1-5
TABLE 1-2	Pedestrian Action Plan	1-10
TABLE 1-3	Pedestrian Action Plan – Projects with Committed Funds	1-13
TABLE 1-4	Bicycle Action Plan	1-16
TABLE 1-5	Bicycle Action Plan – Projects with Committed Funds	1-10
TABLE 1-6	Tri-Met Ten-Year Service Plan	1-21
TABLE 1-7	Beaverton Motor Vehicle System Improvements included in RTP Priority Scenario	1-24
TABLE 1-8	Beaverton 2015 TSP Motor Vehicle Improvements not identified in the RTP Priority Scenario	1-26
TABLE 1-9	Committed/Completed Beaverton 2015 TSP Motor Vehicle Improvements	1-27
TABLE 1-10	Beaverton 2020 TSP Preferred Additional Motor Vehicle Improvement Plan	1-27
TABLE 1-11	Potential Transportation Revenue Sources	1-37
TABLE 1-12	Costs for Beaverton Transportation Action Plans over 20 years	1-38
TABLE 1-13	Estimation of Available Transportation Funding from Existing Sources	1-38
TABLE 3-1	Travel Time Surveys	3-9
TABLE 3-2	Existing PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Study Intersections Along Scholls Ferry Road	3-12
TABLE 3-3	Existing PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Study Intersections Along TV Highway/Canyon Road	3-12
TABLE 3-4	Existing PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Signalized Study Intersections Along Farmington Road/Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy	3-13
TABLE 3-5	Existing PM Peak Hour Intersections Level of Service Study Intersections	3-14
TABLE 3-6	Intersections Operating at Capacity under Existing Conditions	3-15
TABLE 3-7	SPIS Ranking of Ten Highest Beaverton TSP Study Area Intersections	3-17
TABLE 3-8	Average Weekday Boarding Rides System-wide for Tri-Met Routes Serving Beaverton	3-20
TABLE 3-9	Existing Weekday System-Wide Frequency and Average System-Wide Boarding Rates for Tri-Met Routes Serving the Beaverton Area	3-21
TABLE 4-1	Beaverton Land Use Summary	4-2
TABLE 4-2	Approximate Average PM Peak Period Trip Rates Used in Metro Model	4-6
TABLE 4-3	Existing and Future Projected Vehicle Trip Generation	4-6
TABLE 4-4	Forecasted 2020 D/C ratios (Committed Scenario) 2-Hour	4-9
TABLE 4-5	RTP Projects supporting TSM	4-15
TABLE 4-6	Transportation Demand Management Strategies	4-16
TABLE 4-7	TDM Assumptions for 2040 Land Use Designations in Beaverton	4-18
TABLE 4-8	Forecasted Non-SOV shares in the Beaverton TSP Study Area	4-20
TABLE 4-9	Pedestrian Action Plan	4-30
TABLE 4-10	Pedestrian Action Plan – Projects with Committed Funds	4-33
TABLE 4-11	Bicycle Action Plan	4-36
TABLE 4-12	Bicycle Action Plan – Projects with Committed Funds	4-38

TABLE 4-13	Tri-Met Ten-Year Service Improvements	4-41
TABLE 4-14	Beaverton Motor Vehicle System Improvements included in RTP Priority Scenario	4-49
TABLE 4-15	Beaverton 2015 TSP Motor Vehicle Improvements not identified in the RTP Priority Scenario	4-51
TABLE 4-16	2015 TSP Intersection Improvements	4-52
TABLE 4-17	Committed/Completed Beaverton 2015 TSP Motor Vehicle Improvements	4-54
TABLE 4-18	Beaverton 2020 TSP Preferred Additional Motor Vehicle Improvement Plan	4-55
TABLE 4-19	SPIS Ranking of Ten Highest Beaverton TSP Study Area Intersections	4-58

LIST OF ACRONYMS / ABBREVIATIONS

- CBD – Central Business District
- D/C – Demand to Capacity Ratio
- DEIS – Draft Environmental Impact Study
- DEQ – Department of Environmental Quality
- ECO – Employee Commute Options
- FHWA – Federal Highway Administration
- HCM – Highway Capacity Manual
- ITS – Intelligent Transportation System
- LID – Local Improvement Districts
- LOS – Level of Service
- LRT – Light Rail Transit
- MSTIP – Major Streets Transportation Improvement Projects
- NAC – Neighborhood Action Committee
- ODOT – Oregon Department of Transportation
- OHP – Oregon Highway Plan
- RLIS – Regional Land Information System
- ROW – Right of Way
- RTP – Regional Transportation Plan
- SDC – System Development Charges
- SOV – Single Occupancy Vehicle
- SPIS – Safety Priority Indexing System
- SPWF – Special Public Works Fund
- TAC – Technical Advisory Committee
- TAZ – Transportation Analysis Zone
- TDM – Travel Demand Management
- TGM – Transportation and Growth Management
- TIF – Traffic Impact Fee
- TPR – Transportation Planning Rule
- TSM – Transportation System Management
- TSP – Transportation System Plan
- V/C – Volume to Capacity Ratio
- VPD – Vehicles Per Day
- WACO – Washington County